

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 $Tf_{\frac{1}{2}}$

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,034 03/25/2004		Robert Allan Young	PUS-P001-041	PUS-P001-041 5815		
35246	7590 02/07/2006 EXAMINER					
MOETTE CASE POS		OCIES SARL	SHAFFER, RICHARD R			
GENEVA,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SWITZER	LAND		3733			

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/809,03	34	YOUNG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Richard R		3733					
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communicated reply 	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evo- nication. tory period will apply and wi II, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 March 2004.							
•	•)⊠ This action is n	on-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objecti								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).	-					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/05.									

Application/Control Number: 10/809,034

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slocum (US Patent 5,304,180) in view of Steiner, et al (US Patent 6,669,701).

Slocum discloses a bone plate (**Figure 1**) comprising: two longitudinal axes; a bottom surface; a top surface with holes (**12a-c** and **14a-c**) that communicate with the bottom surface; hole (**12b**) orientated at about 60 degrees from the main longitudinal axis; the holes having wide beveled countersinks (**20**) to allow up to 45 degrees (Column 2, Lines 8-20) of angulation; and the distal end forming a natural curve corresponding to the shape of bone.

Slocum fails to disclose overlapping holes defining threaded surfaces and a locking bone peg having a threaded head to interface with the threaded surfaces of the overlapping holes. Steiner, et al teach (Column 1, Line 66 to Column 2, Line 60) a bone plate having overlapping combination holes to allow for both rigid and flexible osteosynthesis. It was stated (Column 1, Line 43-56) that non-combination holes require surgeons to compromise between the two types which would be less than ideal in situations such as in joints. The combination holes have a threaded portion (5) in order to fix the screw to the bone plate, and have corresponding screws with threaded heads

(Column 2, Line 41-44). The screw (11) is interfaced with the threaded portion (5) and does not have threads on the rest of the shaft. A threaded shaft was stated as preferable in situations where the screw would be self-tapping (Columns 3 and 6). However, both screws would equally function with a combination hole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the combination holes and a screw with a threaded head (and either threaded shaft or not as a matter of design choice) in the bone plate of Slocum in order to provide greater flexibility to the surgeon by allowing both rigid and flexible osteosynthesis simultaneously while still allowing for either or.

In regard to claim 12, Slocum in view of Steiner, et al disclose the claimed invention except for the threaded apertures being spaced about by an optimized 15mm for closing or opening of wedge femoral osteotomies. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the optimum distance of 15mm, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of an effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slocum in view of Steiner, et al and in further view of Cesarone (US Patent 5,851,207).

Slocum in view of Steiner, et al disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the bone plate system as described above in combination with a drill guide engageable with the bone plate. Cesarone teaches (Column 1, Lines 14-37) that using a drill guide minimizes misalignments and cause improperly secured plates. The drill guide (**Figure**

Application/Control Number: 10/809,034 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

1) connects to the bone plate and ensures an orientation appropriate for a later bone screw. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider using the drill guide of Cesarone to accurately tap holes to be used for a non-threaded shaft, threaded head screw to correctly implant the screw and to secure the bone plate properly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Shaffer

February 2nd, 2006

Dichard Shaffer

ÉDUARDO C. AOBERT